Executive Summary

The detection of microorganisms in blood using automated blood culture systems continues to be the gold standard in bloodstream infection (BSI) diagnosis. The clinical utility of blood cultures is widely accepted. The detection of significant organisms in blood is helpful in directing further investigations as to the source of an infection. Furthermore, blood culture identification and susceptibility results allow for the rationalisation of antimicrobial therapy to target the organism(s) isolated, thus reducing the emergence of antimicrobial resistance.
Timeliness in the handling, processing and reporting of blood culture samples by the microbiology department is of great importance in the provision of a quality service to users, and to guide effective management of the patient with BSI. The aim of this guideline is to recommend the optimal turnaround times (TATs) for the handling, processing, and reporting of blood culture samples which reflect the clinical needs of the patient.

**Summary of Recommendations (See Table 1)**

**Pre-Analytical stage**
It is recommended that blood culture bottles are loaded as soon as possible, and ideally within 4 hours from the time the sample is taken.

**Analytical stage**
Once noted to have a positive reading, the blood culture bottle should be sub-cultured without delay to the appropriate media (with or without direct susceptibility testing), as per local policy.

It is recommended that the Gram stain of a positive blood culture should be performed as soon as is practical or possible, by a scientist equipped with the skills for Gram stain interpretation.

The *clinical significance* of the Gram stain result is interpreted by the doctor to whom the result is communicated.

A TAT of 24-48 hours is recommended for isolate identification, from the time a pure and adequate growth of the isolate is available for further testing.

A specific TAT is not recommended for direct susceptibility results.

A TAT of 24-48 hours is recommended for susceptibility results, from the availability of a pure and adequate growth of the isolate for susceptibility testing.

**Post-Analytical Stage**
Results of microscopy should be communicated promptly (within a two-hour period from the time the result is available for reporting) by the laboratory to the physician or other clinical personnel responsible for patient care.

Preliminary positive reports pertaining to isolate identification should be reported verbally or electronically on the same working day the information becomes available.

If the preliminary identification of the organism suggests that a change in antimicrobial therapy may be warranted, the result should be communicated promptly (within a two-hour period) to the clinician or other healthcare personnel responsible for the patient.

Preliminary negative results should be reported at 48 hours (or as per local agreement).

Final written or computer-generated reports should be issued after five days of incubation for standard blood culture investigations.

Direct antimicrobial susceptibility results should be issued according to local policy and under the direction of the microbiologist interpreting the results.
Final susceptibility results should be reported verbally and / or electronically on the same day as the results are confirmed by the laboratory. If final susceptibility results suggest that a change in antimicrobial therapy may be warranted, they should be communicated promptly (within a two-hour period) to the clinician or other healthcare personnel responsible for the patient.

**Introduction**

The detection of microorganisms in blood using automated blood culture systems continues to be the gold standard in bloodstream infection (BSI) diagnosis. Techniques which allow for the direct detection of microorganisms in blood are not routinely used in Irish laboratories. BSIs are common in Irish communities and hospitals. In 2013, over three and a half thousand *E. coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus* bloodstream isolates were reported by Irish laboratories to the European Antimicrobial Resistance Surveillance Network (EARS-Net). The clinical utility of blood cultures is widely accepted. Positive blood culture results are an integral part of diagnostic algorithms such as the Duke criteria for endocarditis. The detection of significant organisms in blood is helpful in directing further investigations as to the source of an infection. Blood culture identification and susceptibility results allow for the rationalisation of antimicrobial therapy to target the organism(s) isolated. Narrowing the spectrum of antimicrobial therapy reduces the emergence of antimicrobial resistance, as well as minimising hospital costs. Equally, sterile blood culture results are useful in the assessment of any patient with a febrile illness.

**Rationale**

Timeliness in the handling, processing and reporting of blood culture samples by the microbiology department is of great importance in the provision of a quality service to users, and to guide effective management of the patient with BSI. The overall mortality associated with true BSI is 17.5%. Mortality is higher if the BSI is acquired in hospital (20.3%) or if the causative organisms are fungi (35.8%). BSI mortality also increases with age and other predisposing factors such as renal failure. Extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* bloodstream isolates have become increasingly prevalent in Ireland. The emergence of these resistant organisms in hospitals and communities compromises the success of commonly used antimicrobials and adds to the need for their earliest detection by the laboratory. Therefore, blood cultures are recognised as important samples.

**Aim**

This aim of this guideline is to recommend the optimal turnaround time (TAT) for the handling, processing, and reporting of blood culture samples which reflect the clinical needs of the patient.

**Guideline Development Group & Methodology**

Under the auspices of the National Clinical Programme for Pathology (NCPP) Laboratory Handbook subcommittee, an Irish Society of Clinical Microbiologists (ISCM) Blood Culture sub-group was convened. The purpose of this group was to devise an Irish guideline on the handling, processing and reporting of blood cultures. The Guideline Development group consisted of seven members, including five clinical microbiologists and a representative from each of the Academy of Clinical Science and Laboratory Medicine (ACSLM) and the Microbiology Specialist Training Scheme. See Appendix 1.
Accredited Irish laboratories are compliant with the ISO 15189 standard. This document was the core reference for the group. To this end, the guidance for this document followed the recommendations of Section 5.5.1 of the ISO 15189 document according to the following statement: “Preferred procedures are those specified in the instructions for use of in vitro medical devices or those that have been published in established/authoritative textbooks, peer-reviewed texts or journals, or in international consensus standards or guidelines, or national or regional regulations.”

The Health Protection Agency UK Standard for Microbiology Investigations (SMI), “Investigation of Blood Cultures (for organisms other than Mycobacterium species),” was available to the group as a document under review. This document and its references were reviewed in detail. As a result of this review, the group conducted a wider literature search, the references for which are cited in the text.

Review Process
The consultation process involved distribution of the guidance, as agreed by the Guideline Development Group, to clinical microbiologists and clinical microbiology scientists via the ISCM and ACSLM, respectively. Submissions made during the consultation process were reviewed and the relevant changes were incorporated into the final document submitted to the NCPP Clinical Advisory Group in 2015. This guidance will be reviewed every three years. Interim guidance will be issued in the intervening period, if necessary.

Definitions
Infection is defined as a pathological process caused by invasion of normally sterile tissue or fluid (e.g. blood) or body cavity by pathogenic or potentially pathogenic micro-organisms. It is important to point out that frequently, infection is strongly suspected without being microbiologically confirmed.

Bloodstream Infections are caused by the entry of micro-organisms into the blood. BSIs may be primary or secondary in origin and transient, intermittent or continuous in nature. Detailed case definitions can be found at http://www.cdc.gov/nhsn/PDFs/pscManual/17pscNosInfDef_current.pdf

Sepsis is the clinical syndrome defined by the presence of both infection and the systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS). However, since infection cannot always be microbiologically confirmed, the diagnostic criteria are infection, suspected or confirmed and the presence of any two or more of the modified SIRS criteria.

Caveats considered by the ISCM Blood Culture sub-group in the formulation of the guideline

Evaluation of the usefulness and limitations of blood culture results, particularly in the setting of the ongoing management of sepsis, led to the following conclusions which influenced the recommendations of this guideline:

Owing to time taken for current conventional methods to detect organism growth in blood, blood culture results do not facilitate the initial management of the septic patient. In this time-dependent critical situation the kernel of effective management is early recognition of sepsis, escalation of care as appropriate and prompt initiation of bundles of care such as the ‘Sepsis
Six’, one element of which involves the taking of blood cultures. The Guideline Development Group recommends that patients with sepsis should be managed as outlined in the National Clinical Guideline.⁹

It was noted by the group that the recognition of sepsis has been greatly facilitated by institution of the National Early Warning Score (NEWS) tool, which is now in use in most acute hospitals in Ireland.⁹,¹¹

Although the usefulness of blood culture results should not be under-estimated; timely appropriate empiric antimicrobial therapy and source control are the cornerstones of sepsis management as outlined in the National Clinical Guideline.⁹ ‘Awaiting’ culture results is not appropriate in this context.

The institution of appropriate broad-spectrum antimicrobials has been shown to reduce mortality in the setting of sepsis.¹² Therefore, it was noted by the group that the availability to clinicians of up-to-date empiric antimicrobial guidelines, which take national and local microbiological data into account, is essential.

Timeliness in the initiation of antimicrobial therapy was also noted to be a critical component of sepsis management. Prompt administration of antimicrobials particularly within the first hour of recognition of sepsis leads to increased patient survival.¹³

The availability of an expert in infection at all times is essential in order to provide expert opinion on the management of patients with sepsis.

The group recognised that the clinical utility of positive blood culture results is negatively affected by contamination with skin-type or environmental flora. Up to 50% of positive blood culture results represent pseudobacteraemia rather than true BSI.¹ In one study, only 12.4% of coagulase-negative staphylococcal (CoNS) isolates were found to be clinically significant.⁷ In the initial stages these results can be harmful, particularly in the out-of-hours setting when the patients’ clinical team are not available to make an informed decision regarding appropriate further action. This may lead to the initiation of unnecessary antimicrobial therapy and investigations, as well as lengthier hospital stays and costs. Efforts to reduce blood culture contamination rates in excess of 3% should be a consideration for the quality-improvement process in Irish microbiology departments in conjunction with their relevant clinical directorates or units.¹⁴

Where specific TATs are recommended in this document, they represent the optimal TAT for that process as agreed by the Guideline Development group. The group recognises there are differences in microbiology services in Ireland with regard to the funding and resources available to them. Implementation of this guidance may require augmentation of personnel and other resources. These resources may not be available in the short to medium term. Therefore, audit and risk assessment should form part of the implementation of this guideline, to ensure the timeliness and clinical utility of blood culture results in the context of patient safety.

Scope
Standard operating procedures relating to microscopy, culture, choice of media, incubation conditions, identification, susceptibility testing, patient selection and venesection method are found elsewhere.¹⁵,¹⁶ The document does not describe the detection of viruses, parasites or
Mycobacterium species, the processing of post-mortem blood cultures or the significance of individual organisms.

Unless otherwise stated, the document refers to commercial, automated, continuous monitoring blood culture systems as the instrument for detection of microbial growth. Individual instruments are not critically appraised. Manual or semi-automated blood culture processes are not considered in this document.

**Type of specimen**

Blood

Please refer to local laboratory policy for the investigation of fluids from normally sterile sites.

**RECOMMENDATIONS**

**A. Pre-Analytical stage**

The pre-analytical stage involves the time from collection of blood culture samples to the loading of blood culture bottles onto the analyser.

**Recommended Loading Time (LT) for Blood Culture samples:**

It is recommended that blood culture bottles are loaded as soon as possible, and ideally within 4 hours from the time the sample is taken.

Prompt incubation of blood culture bottles leads to reduced time to detection of positive growth (TTD). Conversely, delays in the loading of blood cultures can result in false negative results. Whilst a LT of 4 hours or less has been shown to be achievable, it must be noted that factors such as internal and external transport facilities and out-of-hours staffing levels can have a significant impact on LT. Therefore, out-of-hours arrangements should be in place to facilitate the timely loading of blood culture bottles. This may involve setting up local transport arrangements between satellite hospitals or laboratories and the recipient laboratory, and/or the training of non-microbiological staff to load the bottles onto the instrument out-of-hours. A ≤ 4 hours TAT for the loading of blood culture bottles was considered to be the optimal TAT by the group. It is recommended that the LT is audited. Healthcare workers should be encouraged to document the time of venesection in order to facilitate this process. Factors identified by the audit process which result in systematic delays in the transport or loading of blood culture bottles should prompt remedial actions. Local risk assessment and audit may identify LTs outside of the range recommended here, which may also allow for the timely and successful recovery of microorganisms.

**B. Analytical stage**

The analytical stage involves monitoring for microbial growth by the analyser and the subsequent generation of microscopy, identification and susceptibility results from positive blood culture samples.

**Recommended TAT for Sub-culture and Gram Stain of Positive Blood Culture samples**

Once noted to have a positive reading, the blood culture bottle should be sub-cultured without delay to the appropriate media (with or without direct susceptibility testing) as per local policy.
It is recommended that the Gram stain of a positive blood culture should be performed as soon as is practical or possible, by a scientist equipped with the skills for Gram stain interpretation. The clinical significance of the Gram stain result is interpreted by the doctor to whom the result is communicated.

The availability of a culture/isolate for further testing is essential to guide the further management of a patient with a positive blood culture result. Therefore, it is recommended that once the blood culture sample is noted to have flagged with a positive growth, the bottle should be sub-cultured to the appropriate media (according to local policy) without delay. The decision to include direct susceptibility testing at this stage should be guided by local laboratory policy.

Prompt Gram stain results can result in more rational, cost-effective treatment, reduced length of stay (LOS), and facilitate the earlier identification of patients on inadequate or inappropriate antimicrobial therapy. A specific TAT has not been suggested for Gram staining of positive blood cultures, as there is insufficient evidence to recommend a specific TAT. Gram stain interpretation is an important skill requiring extensive training and experience and should only be performed by those individuals competent to deliver consistent accurate results. Inaccurately reported Gram stain results can lead to sub-optimal and inappropriate therapy and represents a patient safety issue. Equally, the reporting of a Gram stain result from a contaminated blood culture, or one that is not in keeping with the culture the following day, has similar adverse consequences. This scenario is further exacerbated if the Gram stain report is inappropriately interpreted by staff who may not be familiar with the patient. Therefore, careful consideration should be used in deciding to whom Gram stain interpretation is entrusted. Efforts should also be made to reduce blood culture contamination rates. Local risk assessment or audit is recommended to ensure TATs for Gram stain interpretation and reporting meet the clinical needs of the patient. This may be aided by liaison with laboratory users, which in turn may lead to locally agreed TATs.

Recommended TAT for Isolate Identification
A TAT of 24-48 hours is recommended, from the time a pure and adequate growth of the isolate is available for further testing.

Recommended TAT for Direct Susceptibility Results
It was agreed by the group that direct susceptibility results can be useful for microbiologists in directing early antimicrobial therapy. However, as the direct susceptibility testing method is not a standardised process, a specific TAT is not recommended.

Recommended TAT for Final Susceptibility Results
The recommended TAT for final susceptibility results is 24-48 hours from the availability of a pure and adequate growth of the isolate for susceptibility testing.

C. Post-analytical stage
The post-analytical stage involves the reporting and communication of microscopy and culture results. A medical microbiologist should be available to provide further advice on blood culture results that have been communicated, if required.
**Recommended Reporting Procedure for Microscopy Results**

Results of microscopy should be communicated promptly (within a two-hour period from the time the result is available for reporting) by the laboratory to the physician or other clinical personnel responsible for patient care. Requestors have a responsibility to ensure contact details are clear when ordering the test. The laboratory, in conjunction with its users, should establish, define and document local protocols for the effective and standardised communication of results. Criteria to be followed on receipt of such communications should also be considered. Written or computer-generated reports should follow preliminary/verbal reports as soon as practicable.

**Recommended Reporting Procedure for Culture Results**

Preliminary positive reports pertaining to isolate identification should be reported verbally or electronically on the same working day the information becomes available. If the preliminary identification of the organism suggests that a change in antimicrobial therapy may be warranted, the result should be communicated promptly (within a two-hour period) to the clinician or other healthcare personnel responsible for the patient. If appropriate, it should be stated that a further report will be issued. Final written or computer-generated reports should follow preliminary/verbal reports on the same day as confirmation where possible.

Preliminary negative results should be reported at 48 hours from collection (or as per local agreement). Ideally preliminary negative results should be generated automatically to closely reflect the true incubation time.

Final written or computer-generated reports should be issued after five days of incubation for standard blood culture investigations. Cultures requiring extended incubation or reference laboratory testing may require a greater period of time before generation of a final report.

**Recommended Reporting Procedure for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Results**

Direct Susceptibility Results

As direct susceptibility testing is not a standardised process, these results should be issued according to local policy and under the direction of the microbiologist interpreting the results.

Final Susceptibility Results

Final susceptibility results should be reported verbally and/or electronically on the same day as the results are confirmed by the laboratory. If final susceptibility results suggest that a change in antimicrobial therapy is warranted, they should be communicated promptly (within a two-hour period) to the clinician or other healthcare personnel responsible for the patient. Owing to the slow-growing nature of certain organisms, a longer incubation period may be required before susceptibility results can be correctly interpreted and reported.

**Notification to the Health Protection Surveillance Centre (HPSC)**

The Infectious Diseases Regulations 1981 (and subsequent amendments) require diagnostic laboratories to notify the Medical Officer of Health (MOH)/Director of Public Health (DPH) of certain diseases. Immediate preliminary notification is required for a sub-set of notifiable diseases. Notifications may be made in writing, by email or by telephone to the MOH/DPH. A comprehensive list of causative agents notifiable to the HPSC under the Infectious Diseases (Amendment) Regulations 2011 (S.I. No. 452 of 2011) is available at: [http://www.hpsc.ie/NotifiableDiseases/ListofNotifiableDiseases/File,678,en.pdf](http://www.hpsc.ie/NotifiableDiseases/ListofNotifiableDiseases/File,678,en.pdf)
## Summary of Recommendations

### Table 1 Summary of Recommendations for Investigation of Blood Culture Samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Investigative Stage</th>
<th>Test/Process</th>
<th>Recommended TAT or Reporting Procedure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pre-Analytical</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collection, transport and loading of samples</td>
<td>TAT for collection to loading</td>
<td>≤ 4 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Analytical</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From Flagging Positive to Microscopy &amp; from availability of an isolate for Identification and Susceptibility results</td>
<td>Sub-culture</td>
<td>Once a positive flag is noted sub-culture without delay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>As soon as possible, by a scientist with the skills for Gram stain interpretation. See Section: Recommendations B Analytical stage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gram Stain</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Identification</td>
<td>24-48 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Susceptibility testing</td>
<td>24-48 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Post –Analytical</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative report (from receipt in lab to negative reporting)</td>
<td>Preliminary Negative Report</td>
<td>48 hours (or as per local policy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Final Negative Report</td>
<td>After five days of incubation (greater if extended incubation applied)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive report (from positive flag to positive reporting)</td>
<td>Positive Microscopy Report</td>
<td>≤ 2 hours (from the time the result is available for reporting)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Preliminary Identification Report (e.g. S.aureus ‘presumptive’)</td>
<td>Report as soon as possible, ≤ 2 hours if result suggests a change in therapy may be warranted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct Susceptibility Results</td>
<td>As per local policy/directed by microbiologist</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Identification and Susceptibility Results</td>
<td>Report the same day as confirmation of results ≤ 2 hours if results suggest a change in therapy warranted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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